## CENTER ROUTING SLIP Approxed For Release 2004/03/26 : CIA-RDF78B05703A000200010018-4

ТО		INITIALS	DATE	·
DIRECTOR		THE STATE OF THE S	DATE	REMARKS
DEP DIRECTOR				Hold for JJA
EXEC/DIRECTOR	1.	10	1/2	
SPECIAL ASST	M	DT.	219	
ASST TO DIR			, Ø	Please send
HISTORIAN		-		Verse /Levi
1				ropy to TSG
CH PPBS				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
DEP CH. PPBS	-	1		Or love you -
EXO/PPBS				copy to TSG. Or love you -
				room werell
CH/SS				on distribution -
DEP CH/SS	-	;		but then weitles
SC & P		.	-	•
RECORDS MGT				is O/DIR.
PERSONNEL	•			$\mathcal{H}_{-}$
LOGISTICS				
TRAINING				
SECURITY	•			
FINANCE	-			
				Capies sent to
CH/IEG	Ź			Capies sent to TSG, SS & PPBS
DEP CH IEG				TSG, SS & FFBS
EXO/IEG		1		8/24/70
				10 mg/2
CH PSG	-			
DEP CH PSG				
EXO PSG	-			
CH/TSG		-		
DEP CH/ TSG				
EXO/TSG				
DIR/IAS/DDI				
CH/DIAXX-4		10 1 - man comm		
CH/ DIAAP-9		-		
		2004/0	3/26 ·	CIA-RDP78B05703A0002000100

## SFCRFT Approved For Release 2004/03/26 : CIA-RDF78B05703A000200010018-4

IEG/OD/TPB-73/70 19 August 1970

		MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
25X1		SUBJECT: Meeting with Regarding 1540 Light Tables	
			25X1
		2. The meeting was requested by the	25X1
		the reasons for their table not being accepted by NPIC.	
25X1		3presented the list of complaints, from the standpoint of test and evaluation, that the had been asked to	25X1
		correct. Several of these were common to the operational evaluation.	20/(1
		Most had been corrected, but four items were still unsatisfactory; the format surfaces were still above specifications by approximately 10	
		degrees; the rate control response is still unsatisfactory; it is still	
		possible to pick-up dirt under the glass; and the film speed is still too fast at low ranges from an operational standpoint, although it is	
		within specifications.	
25X1		4. wanted to explore the subject of unfavorable treatment of their table during evaluation. A candid discussion followed,	
25X1		mostly between about the procedures	
		followed during testing. I, upon request, contributed to this discussion	
	•	and stressed that IEG had made every effort to be impartial and objective during evaluation. I was asked by if previous experience	0.574
		with their company's products had influenced our judgement. My answer	25X1
		was, that to my knowledge it had not; that even though the oil in some	
	· ,	older 940s was becoming colored at the time of evaluation, this did not influence the table evaluators mentioned a rumor he	051/4
25X1		had heard that was permitted to correct deficiencies during evaluation	25X1
		several times. This was denied by TSG to the satisfaction, I believe, of	
25X1			

## SEGIFT Approved For Release 2004/03/26 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000200010018-4

	IEG/OD/TPB-73/70 19 August 1970	
	SUBJECT: Meeting with Regarding 1540 Light Tables	25X1
25X1	5. A statement by that they were unaware of 70mm tracking problems until the table was returned to them, was disputed by all NPIC members. A letter from responding to our complaints and dated before the tables return to them included a response to the tracking problem. This was shown to them.	25X1
	6. Throughout the discussion, the time element relating to both procurement cycle and operational needs was mentioned as a factor in the decision making. The seemed slow to accept this.	25X1
	7. At the conclusion of the meeting (which lasted 1 hour and 20 minutes) I was left with the impression that was satisfied with our position except for one point: they felt the heat problem should have been corrected before operational evaluation was conducted.	25X1
		25X1
	Distribution:  2 - NPIC/IEG/OD/TPB  1 - NPIC/IEG/OD  1 - NPIC/IEG/O/C  1 - Each IEG Division	<b>-</b>